

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Wagner
<b>Given Name</b>	Jane
<b>Person ID</b>	1286424
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Wagner
<b>Given Name</b>	Jane
<b>Person ID</b>	1286424
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>No use of brownfield sites using greenbelt instead</p> <p>Plan is using 2014 figures</p> <p>Air quality around Manchester is already poor especially in the Bury area.</p> <p>Loss of green belt and open spaces will be detrimental to all.</p> <p>Damage and loss to local wildlife as green belt to be taken</p> <p>Motorways and local roads are already working over capacity making travel extremely difficult in and around Manchester.</p> <p>Manchester is turning into an urban sprawl and places for everyone will make an already difficult situation much worse increasing traffic in an already congested motorway network</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>Brownfield sites need to be used first</p> <p>Updated figures need to be used taking into account covid and need of town centre development.</p> <p>More trees planted and any developments need to be farther afield from the motorway connections</p> <p>Brownfield sites used first to minimise loss of local wildlife .</p> <p>Develop new towncentres to accomodate social housing instead of taking greenbelt and building 4 and 5 bedroom houses and spoiling our treasured green spaces .</p> <p>Improve local towncenters to help with congested roads</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Wagner
<b>Given Name</b>	Jane
<b>Person ID</b>	1286424
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Meet our housing need</li> <li>2. Create neighbourhoods of choice</li> <li>3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved</li> <li>4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets</li> <li>5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity</li> <li>6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information</li> <li>7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral</li> <li>8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</li> <li>9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure</li> </ol>
<b>Family Name</b>	Wagner
<b>Given Name</b>	Jane
<b>Person ID</b>	1286424
<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.1 Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway)
Type	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>we do not need another Industrial estate .</p> <p>The current industrial estate is currently half empty so why build a bigger one and destroy the greenbelt?</p> <p>There is also an industrial estate being built a mile up the road in Rochdale so we certainly dont need two.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Not needed and adding to considerable traffic problems for the surrounding areas on the motorway and local roads
<b>Family Name</b>	Wagner
<b>Given Name</b>	Jane
<b>Person ID</b>	1286424

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.2: Simister and Bowlee (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>We have a duplication with Simister and Rochdale . Rochdale are building 2500 houses a mile up the road in Heywood and 1550 houses planned for Simister .The majority of traffic will come down Heywood Old Road. This is a very congested area and will not cope with the extra traffic. At Blueball Lane we have a row of cottages who park on the road as they dont have driveways and reduce this road to one lane so its unsafe . We have a school being built on Bowlee providing 800 places who will all be using Heywood Old Road . There is just too much being crammed into this area without the infrastructure being in place even with a new road and roundabout to go in .</p> <p>There has been no consultation with residents regarding areas to build on.Bury council decided on 4 sites chosen from 90 sites. No minutes were recorded at these meetings so should have been open to residents and open for consultation.</p> <p>There has been little warning to residents and it has not been advertised properly Notification was attached to a couple of trees and on A4 paper in plastic pockets which disappeared a couple of days later .I did notify the council who said they would sort this out but it didnt happen.</p> <p>Simister village will be destroyed with all this building going ahead. We currently live in an area where people keep over 100 horses in the village and enjoy the countryside which will be destroyed. During covid we had many people out walking in the countryside and all this will disappear.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>Build on brownfield sites first.</p> <p>Do not release the greenbelt as once its gone its gone and we will loose our precious open spaces .</p> <p>Develop town centres and canalsides etc instead of destroying our countryside</p>